

Theorem: (termination) The Euclidean

Algorithm, applied to integers  $m$  and  $n$ , terminates in  $\gcd(m, n)$ .

proof: Let  $m = q_{-1}$  and  $n = q_0$ .

In general, we have

$$r_{k-2} = q_k r_{k-1} + r_k$$

The process terminates with

$r_l$  where

$$r_{l-1} = q_{l+1} r_l$$

Rewrite the equation as

$$\lambda_k = \lambda_{k-2} - q_k \lambda_{k-1}$$

Observe that, with

$$Q_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q_k \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -q_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{k-2} \\ \lambda_{k-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{k-1} \\ \lambda_{k-2} - q_k \lambda_{k-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{k-1} \\ \lambda_k \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that  $\det(Q_k) = -1$ ,

so  $Q_k$  is invertible, with

inverse 
$$\begin{bmatrix} q_k & \\ & 1 \ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Starting with

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ n_0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q_1 \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q_2 \begin{bmatrix} n_0 \\ n_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ so}$$

$$Q_2 Q_1 \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

After  $l+1$  steps, we get

$$Q_{l+1} Q_l \cdots Q_2 Q_1 \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n_l \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Each  $Q_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq l+1$  has integer entries, so any product of  $Q_k$ 's has integer entries.

In particular, if

$$Q = Q_{l+1} Q_l \cdots Q_2 Q_1,$$

then  $Q$  has integer entries,

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$Q \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} am + bn \\ cm + dn \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} am + bn \\ cm + dn \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} am + bn \\ cm + dn \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} am + bn \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

So  $am + bn \in I(m, n)$ .

But since  $Q_k$  is invertible with determinant  $-1$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq l+1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\det(Q) &= \det(Q_{l+1}, Q_l, \dots, Q_2, Q_1) \\ &= \det(Q_{l+1}) \det(Q_l) \dots \det(Q_2) \det(Q_1) \\ &= (-1)^{l+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $Q$  is invertible, and the inverse is

$$Q^{-1} = (-1)^{l+1} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$Q \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ne \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

applying  $Q^{-1}$  on the left gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = Q^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} ne \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{d+1} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} ne \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{d+1} \begin{bmatrix} dne \\ -cne \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $m$  and  $n$  are **nonzero** integers,  
 $d \neq 0$  and  $c \neq 0$ .

Therefore,

$$m = (-1)^{l+1} d n_e$$

$$n = (-1)^{l+1} (-c n_e),$$

which implies

$$n_e \mid m \text{ and } n_e \mid n.$$

So we have:  $n_e \mid m$ ,  $n_e \mid n$

and  $n_e \in I(m, n)$ .

By a previous lemma,

$$n_e = \gcd(m, n).$$





Corollary: Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \neq 0 \neq n$  and  
let  $d = \gcd(m, n)$ . Then

1)  $d$  is the least element in

$$\mathbb{N} \cap \mathcal{I}(m, n)$$

$$2) \mathcal{I}(m, n) = d\mathbb{Z}$$

$$= \{dk \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

proof: 1) We already know that

$d \in \mathcal{I}(m, n)$ . Since  $d \mid m$  and

$d \mid n$ , we know  $d$  divides

every element of  $\mathcal{I}(m, n)$ .

This implies that if  $a \in \mathbb{N} \cap \mathcal{I}(m, n)$ ,

then  $d \mid a \Rightarrow d \leq a$ , so

$d$  is the least element of  $\mathcal{I}(m, n)$ .

2) By 1), we know that  
if  $a \in \mathcal{I}(m, n)$ , then  $d \mid a$ ,

so  $\mathcal{I}(m, n) \subseteq d\mathbb{Z}$ .

But since  $d \in \mathcal{I}(m, n)$ ,

we can write

$$d = tm + bn \quad \text{for } t, b \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and so if  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$dk = (kt)m + (kb)n \in \mathcal{I}(m, n).$$



Definition: (relatively prime) Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  
 $m \neq 0 \neq n$ . We say  $m$  and  
 $n$  are relatively prime if  
 $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

\* Corollary \*  
If  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \neq 0 \neq n$ . Then  
 $m$  and  $n$  are relatively prime  
if and only if  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$1 = am + bn$$

Proof:  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $m$  and  $n$  are  
relatively prime. Then

$$1 = \gcd(m, n) \in \mathcal{I}(m, n),$$

so  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with

$$1 = am + bn.$$

↪ Suppose  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$1 = am + bn.$$

Then  $1 \in I(m, n)$ .

But  $d = \gcd(m, n)$ , we

know that  $d$  is the

least element in

$\mathbb{N} \cap I(m, n)$ , which

implies  $d = 1$ .



Example 1: Are 60997 and 51343 relatively prime? Write their gcd as a linear combination of integers.

**Solution:** Start the Euclidean Algorithm!

$$m = 60997, \quad n = 51343$$

$$Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad 60997 = 1 \cdot 51343 + 9654$$

$$Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \quad 51343 = 5 \cdot 9654 + 3073$$

$$Q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \quad 9654 = 3 \cdot 3073 + 435$$

$$Q_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -7 \end{bmatrix} \quad 3073 = 7 \cdot 435 + 28$$

$$Q_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -15 \end{bmatrix} \quad 435 = 15 \cdot 28 + 15$$

$$Q_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad 28 = 1 \cdot 15 + 13$$

$$15 = 13 \cdot 1 + 2$$

$$Q_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$13 = 2 \cdot 6 + 1$$

$$Q_8 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2 = 2 \cdot (1)$$

$$Q_9 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

relatively prime!

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = Q \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 60997 \\ 51343 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} -23842 & 28325 \\ 51343 & -60997 \end{bmatrix}$$